	Application No.	Applicant(s)	ĺ
Notice of Allowability	10/024,506	DENUZZIO ET AL.	ch
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robert L. Nasser	3736	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in thi or other appropriate communic GHTS. This application is subj and MPEP 1308.	is application. If not include ation will be mailed in due	ed course, THIS
 This communication is responsive to <u>phone conversation o</u> 	f 8/31/2004·		
2. \square The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>6, 8-10, 16, 17, 20-23, 30, 32-3</u>	4 , 40-44, 66-69 (renumbered :	1-23)-	
3. The drawings filed on are accepted by the Examiner	r.		
4. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" on the delow. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONM THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE. 5. ☐ A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submited in INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give and including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers 1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No./Mail Date (b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1. each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the proper of the priority and the depose attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT in the proper of the priority documents and the priority documents are calculated as such in the proper of the priority documents and the priority documents are calculated as such in the priority documents are calculated as a such in the priority documents are calculated as a such in the priority documents are calculat	been received. been received in Application Notements have been received in Secure of this communication to file a result of this application. Sitted. Note the attached EXAMI as reason(s) why the oath or deat to be submitted. Son's Patent Drawing Review (18) as Amendment / Comment or in the cheader according to 37 CFR 1 sit of BIOLOGICAL MATERI	this national stage applicate this national stage applicate the stage applicate the complying with the reconstruction of the control of the c	quirements IOTICE OF
Attachment(s) 1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No./Mail Date 4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	6. ⊠ Interview Sumr Paper No./Ma 8), 7. ⊠ Examiner's Am	il Date 09012004 .	·

Application/Control Number: 10/024,506

Art Unit: 3736

An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

An extension of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) is required in order to make an examiner's amendment which places this application in condition for allowance. During a telephone conversation conducted on August 31, 2004, Mr. Christian Michel requested an extension of time for a third MONTH and authorized the Director to charge Deposit Account No. 18-2220 the required fee of \$530 for this extension and authorized the following examiner's amendment. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

The examiner hereby gives authorization for applicant to supply formal drawings and correct the following informalities. The labelling of the figures is informal. In addition, figures 7 and 12 are informal.

The application has been amended as follows:

In claim 20, line 7, the words "at least one auxiliary electrode" have been replaced with – auxiliary electrodes --.

In claim 20, line 7, the words -- each of – have been added after the word surround.

In claim 20, line 8, the word "electrode" has been replaced with - electrodes --.

Application/Control Number: 10/024,506

Art Unit: 3736

In claim 20, lines 10-11, the phrase "positioned for use in a sequential manner" have been replaced with -- sequentially positioned at at least one active location of said device.--.

In claim 41, line 8, the words "an auxiliary electrode" have been changed to – auxiliary electrodes --.

In claim 41, line 8, the words – each of – have been added after the word surround.

In claim 41, line 8, the word "electrode" has been replaced with – electrodes --.

In claim 41, lines 12-13, the phrase "positioned for use in a sequential manner" have been replaced with -- sequentially positioned at at least one active location of said device.--.

In claim 66, lines 3-4, the phrase "positioned for use in a sequential manner" have been replaced with -- sequentially positioned at at least one active location of said device--.

In claim 66, line 7, the words "at least one auxiliary electrode" have been changed to – auxiliary electrodes --.

In claim 66, line 7, the words – each of – have been added after the word surround.

In claim 66, line 8, the word "electrode" has been replaced with – electrodes --.

In claim 68, line 3, the phrase "positioned for use in a sequential matter" have been replaced with -- sequentially positioned at at least one active location of said device.--.

Art Unit: 3736

In claim 68, line 8, the words "an auxiliary electrode" have been changed to – auxiliary electrodes --.

In claim 68, line 7, the words – each of – have been added after the word surround.

In claim 68, line 7, the word "electrode" has been replaced with – electrodes --.

These changes were made to clarify that the active electrodes were capable of being sequentially positioned at an active area of the device, as opposed to how the claim previously read, which stated that the electrodes were positioned for sequential use. During a phone conversation with Mr. Michel on 8/31/2004, the examiner noted that the electrodes of Sohrab, for example, were capable of being used sequentially, even if they were not intended to be. As amended, the claims define over any combination with such a device.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert L. Nasser whose telephone number is (703) 308-3251. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, variable hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max Hindenburg can be reached on (703) 308-3130. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/024,506

Art Unit: 3736

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RLN September 1, 2004 Robert L. Nasser Primary Examiner Art Unit 3736

Robert & Masser }

ROBERT L. NASSER PROMARY EXAMINER